

Training for Parkland College Title IX Personnel

Presented By: Frank B. Garrett and Emily P. Bothfeld

September 21 and 23, 2020

Robbins Schwartz

Background on New Title IX Regulations



Robbins Schwartz

Background

- September 22, 2017: U.S. Department of Education released a Dear Colleague Letter formally withdrawing two key Obama-era guidance documents:
 - 2011 Dear Colleague Letter on Sexual Violence
 - 2014 Q&A on Title IX and Sexual Violence

Robbins Schwartz

Status

- Proposed Title IX Regulations released in November 2018
 - 60-day public comment period yielded over 120,000 comments
- Proposed Final Rules released on May 6, 2020 and published in Federal Register on May 19, 2020
- Effective date: August 14, 2020



Robbins Schwartz

Impact on Higher Education Institutions

- The new Regulations require:
 - Revisions to institutional policies and procedures
 - Staffing determinations
 - Training for all personnel involved in an institution's investigation and grievance process, including:
 - Title IX Coordinator(s)
 - Investigator(s)
 - Decision-Maker(s)
 - Appellate Decision-Maker(s)
 - Informal Resolution Facilitator(s)
 - Publishing of information and training materials on the institution's website

Robbins Schwartz

Overview of Relevant Laws



Robbins Schwartz

Relevant Laws

- The relevant requirements of the below laws have been incorporated into the College's proposed Sex-Based Misconduct Policy and Procedures:
 - Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 ("Title IX")
 - Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII")
 - Preventing Sexual Violence in Higher Education Act ("PSVHEA")
 - Illinois Human Rights Act ("IHRA")
 - Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act ("Clery Act")
 - Violence Against Women Act ("VAWA")

Robbins Schwartz

Relevant Laws

- **Title IX:** Prohibits sex-based discrimination, including sexual harassment, in educational programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance.
- **Title VII:** Prohibits discrimination, including discrimination based on sex, in employment.

Robbins Schwartz

Relevant Laws

- **Preventing Sexual Violence in Higher Education Act:** Requires Illinois higher education institutions to adopt comprehensive policies concerning sexual violence, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking.
- **Illinois Human Rights Act:**
 - Prohibits discrimination in Illinois, including in employment.
 - Also prohibits sexual harassment in elementary, secondary and higher education.




Robbins Schwartz

Relevant Laws

- **Clery Act:** Requires institutions to maintain and disclose crime statistics and security information.
- **Violence Against Women Act:** Expands the rights afforded to campus survivors of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking.

Robbins Schwartz

Key Definitions



Robbins Schwartz

Title IX Sexual Harassment

Sexual harassment includes:

1. Quid pro quo harassment by a college employee
2. Unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would find so severe, pervasive and objectively offensive that it denies a person equal educational access
3. Any instance of sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence or stalking (as defined in Clery Act/VAWA)

Robbins Schwartz

Title IX Sexual Harassment: Quid Pro Quo

- When an employee of the college conditions aid, benefits, pay, a position or other opportunities for advancement on an individual's submission to unwelcome sexual conduct.
 - Example: Professor Jones promises his student, Jane, that he will give her an A on her midterm if she engages in sexual conduct in his office after class.

Robbins Schwartz

Title IX Sexual Harassment: “Hostile Environment”


- Unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would find so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it denies a person equal educational access
 - Severe and pervasive and offensive
 - Denial of equal educational access

Robbins Schwartz

Title IX Sexual Harassment: “Hostile Environment”

- Compare with Title VII definition for workplace hostile environment claims:
 - Unwelcome sexual advances and other conduct of a sexual nature having the purpose or effect of interfering with an individual’s work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive working environment.

Robbins Schwartz



Title IX Sexual
Harassment:
“Hostile
Environment”

- Compare with IHRA definition:
 - Any conduct of a sexual nature exhibited by an education representative toward a student, when such conduct has the purpose of substantially interfering with the student's educational performance or creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive educational environment.

Robbins Schwartz

Title IX Sexual Harassment: Other Categories

- Title IX's definition of sexual harassment also includes:
 - Sexual Assault
 - Dating Violence
 - Domestic Violence
 - Stalking

As defined under Clery Act/VAWA

Robbins Schwartz

Sexual Assault, Dating Violence, & Stalking

Sexual Assault:

- An offense that meets the definition of rape, fondling, incest, or statutory rape as used in the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting program.

Dating Violence

- Violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the victim.

Stalking

- Engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to –
 - Fear for the person's safety or the safety of others; or
 - Suffer substantial emotional distress.

Robbins Schwartz

Domestic Violence

Domestic Violence

A felony or misdemeanor crime of violence committed:

- By a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim;
- By a person with whom the victim shares a child in common;
- By a person who is cohabitating with, or has cohabitated with, the victim as a spouse or intimate partner;
- By a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under the domestic or family violence laws of the jurisdiction in which the crime of violence occurred; or
- By any other person against an adult or youth victim who is protected.

Robbins Schwartz

Preventing
Sexual Violence
in Higher
Education Act:
Sexual Violence

- Physical sexual acts attempted or perpetrated against a person's will or when a person is incapable of giving consent, including without limitation:
 - Rape;
 - Sexual assault;
 - Sexual battery;
 - Sexual abuse; and
 - Sexual coercion.

Robbins Schwartz

Preventing Sexual Violence in Higher Education Act: Sexual Violence and Consent

- The PSVHEA requires that institutions adopt a policy which includes a definition of consent consistent with the Act, 110 ILCS 155/10.
- **Consent:**
 - Must be freely given
 - May not be inferred from lack of verbal or physical resistance, from submission resulting from the use of threat or force, from a person's manner of dress, from a person's consent to past sexual activity, or from a person's consent to engage in sexual activity with another person
 - May be withdrawn at any time
 - Cannot be given by a person who is unable to understand the nature of the activity or give knowing consent due to circumstances (i.e. incapacitation due to alcohol or drugs, age, incapacitation due to mental disability)

Robbins Schwartz

Key
Definitions:
Hypothetical

- You receive a report of a student being sexually assaulted by another student on campus.
- Do you need to evaluate the severity, pervasiveness, and offensiveness of the sexual assault?



Robbins Schwartz

Key
Definitions:
Hypothetical

- You have been assigned to investigate a formal complaint alleging that a student was sexually harassed by his sociology instructor.
- What types of evidence/information would be relevant to determining whether the alleged harassment was severe, pervasive and objectively offensive?

Robbins Schwartz

Jurisdiction



Robbins Schwartz

Jurisdiction Under Title IX

- Institutions must respond when sexual harassment occurs **“in the institution’s education program or activity, against a person in the United States.”**

Robbins Schwartz

Title IX
Jurisdiction:
Scope of
College's
Education
Program or
Activity

- Includes:
 - Locations, events, or circumstances over which the institution exercised substantial control over both the respondent and the context in which the sexual harassment occurred; and
 - Any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by a postsecondary institution.

Robbins Schwartz

Title IX Jurisdiction: Scope of College's Education Program or Activity

- Parkland College examples for discussion:
 - Betsy's Bistro
 - Local hospital where students are participating in clinical rotations?
 - Faculty member's home?
 - Social media?

Robbins Schwartz

Jurisdiction:
“... in the
United States”

- To fall under Title IX, the alleged misconduct must have occurred in the United States.
- If not, look to other applicable laws (e.g., PSVHEA)
- Example: sexual assault that occurs during study abroad program?


Robbins Schwartz

Jurisdiction: Hypothetical

- Compare:
 - A student athlete allegedly sexually assaults another student athlete while off-campus at a sporting event with their team and coach
 - A student athlete allegedly sexually assaults another student athlete while at a friend's off-campus apartment
- Which type of alleged misconduct falls under Title IX?
- What are the College's response obligations with regard to each type of alleged misconduct?

Robbins Schwartz

Proposed Sex-
Based
Misconduct
Policy



Robbins Schwartz

Proposed Sex- Based Misconduct Policy

- Prohibits all forms of “sex-based misconduct,” including but not limited to:
 - Sex discrimination
 - Sexual harassment
 - Sexual violence
 - Domestic violence
 - Dating violence
 - Stalking

Robbins Schwartz

Proposed Sex- Based Misconduct Policy

- Applies to:
 - Students
 - Employees & independent contractors
 - Volunteers
 - Visitors
 - Board members


Robbins Schwartz

Proposed Sex- Based Misconduct Policy

- Prohibits retaliation against any person reporting alleged sex-based misconduct or participating in an investigation of alleged sex-based misconduct
- Directs the College administration to establish, maintain and publish procedures implementing the College's Sex-Based Misconduct Policy.

Robbins Schwartz

Sex-Based Misconduct Procedures



Robbins Schwartz

Purpose of Procedures

- Implement the College's (proposed) Policy Prohibiting Sex-Based Misconduct and the College's Harassment/Discrimination Policies.
- Ensure a safe and healthy educational and employment environment.
- Meet relevant legal requirements.

Robbins Schwartz

Jurisdiction

- Procedures apply to alleged sex-based misconduct whenever the alleged misconduct occurs:
 - On campus; or
 - Off campus property if:
 - The conduct was in connection with a College or College-recognized program or activity; or
 - The conduct may have the effect of creating a hostile environment for a member of the College community.
- Broader than Title IX jurisdiction

Robbins Schwartz

Role of Title IX Coordinator

- Coordinate College's compliance with Title IX and related laws.
- Oversee College's response to all reports of alleged sex-based misconduct.
- Analyze reports to determine appropriate method for processing and reviewing.
- Oversee grievance process for formal Title IX/PSVHEA complaints.
- Coordinate the provision of supportive measures and implementation of remedies.
- Ensure adherence to policies/procedures.
- Ensure appropriate training is provided to students, faculty and staff.

Robbins Schwartz

Options for Assistance Following Incident of Sex-Based Misconduct

- On- and Off-Campus Counselors and Advocates
 - Parkland College Counseling Services
 - 24-hour crisis lines
- Emergency Response
 - Parkland College Department of Public Safety
 - Off-campus police departments
- Healthcare
 - Carle Foundation Hospital
 - OSF Medical Center
 - Frances Nelson Medical Center
 - Champaign-Urbana Public Health
 - Planned Parenthood
 - Women's Health Practice
 - Avicenna Community Health Center
- Illinois Department of Human Rights sexual harassment and discrimination helpline

Robbins Schwartz

Reporting
Alleged Sex-
Based
Misconduct



Robbins Schwartz

Reporting Generally

- Who can report?
 - Anyone – including students, employees and community members
 - Need not be the person who is alleged to be the victim of the misconduct
- To whom should reports be made?
 - Title IX Coordinator or Deputy Title IX Coordinator
 - Any responsible employee (students only)

Robbins Schwartz

Student Reporting

- Reporting to Responsible Employees
 - The College's REs include:
 - Title IX Coordinator
 - College Administrators
 - Faculty
 - Campus Police
 - Coaches
 - Faculty/staff advisors to recognized student clubs/organizations
 - Full-time assistants to the President/Vice Presidents
 - Full-time Dean of Students Office staff
 - Full-time Human Resources staff
 - REs must report all relevant details to the Title IX Coordinator, if known.

Robbins Schwartz

Student Reporting

- Confidential Reporting
 - The College's designated Confidential Advisors include:
 - Parkland College Counseling Services mental health counselors
 - Confidential Advisors are not required to report any information about an alleged incident to the Title IX Coordinator without the student's permission.

Robbins Schwartz



Student Reporting

- Anonymous and/or Electronic Reporting
 - Students may report anonymously online.
 - Before the student enters information, the system will notify the student that entering personally identifiable information may serve as notice to the College for purposes of triggering an investigation.

Robbins Schwartz

Employee Reporting

- Employees should report to:
 - Title IX Coordinator
 - Human Resources Department

Robbins Schwartz

Questions?



Robbins Schwartz

College Response
to Reports of
Alleged Sex-
Based Misconduct



Robbins Schwartz

“Deliberate
Indifference
Standard”
Under Title IX

- College must respond to allegations of Title IX sexual harassment:
 - Promptly
 - In a manner that is not “clearly unreasonable in light of the known circumstances”

Robbins Schwartz

College
Response:
Definitions

Complainant:

- Individual who is alleged to be the victim of alleged sex-based misconduct

Respondent:

- Individual who is reported to be the perpetrator of alleged sex-based misconduct

Robbins Schwartz

Overview of College Response Process

1. Analyze report to determine the appropriate method for processing/reviewing it.
2. For any report alleging Title IX sexual harassment and/or alleging sexual violence, domestic violence, dating violence or stalking pursuant to the PSVHEA, promptly contact the complainant.
3. Discuss and offer supportive measures.
4. Explain the process for filing a formal complaint.




Robbins Schwartz

College Response Process

- Step 1: Analyze the Report.
 - Does Title IX apply?
 - Does the Preventing Sexual Violence in Higher Education Act apply?


Robbins Schwartz

Title IX: Jurisdiction Analysis



Robbins Schwartz

Preventing Sexual Violence in Higher Education Act: Jurisdiction Analysis



Robbins Schwartz

College Response Process

- Step 2: Contact the complainant.
 - “Complainant” is defined as the individual who is alleged to be the victim of alleged sex-based misconduct



Robbins Schwartz

College Response Process

- Step 3: Discuss and offer supportive measures.
 - Individualized services reasonably available that are non-punitive, non-disciplinary, and not unreasonably burdensome to the other party
 - Designed to ensure equal educational access, protect safety, or deter sexual harassment

Robbins Schwartz

College Response Process

- Step 4: Explain to the complainant the process for filing a formal complaint.

Robbins Schwartz

Grievance
Process for
Formal
Complaints



Robbins Schwartz

Grievance Process for Formal Complaints

Formal complaint:

- Document filed by a complainant or signed by Title IX Coordinator alleging
 - (a) sexual harassment in violation of Title IX and/or
 - (b) sexual violence, domestic violence, dating violence or stalking in violation of the PSVHEA.
- At the time the complainant files a formal complaint, the complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in the College's education programs or activities (either as a student or an employee).

Robbins Schwartz

Formal Complaint Hypothetical

A student graduates in June. In mid-July, the former student contacts the Title IX Coordinator to report that she was sexually harassed by another student the previous February. The former student indicates that she would like to file a formal complaint.

- Can the former student file a formal complaint?
- What if the former student is working as a research assistant for the summer?
- In the event the former student cannot file a formal complaint, what options does the College have?

Robbins Schwartz

Grievance Process: Notice of Allegations

- Must be sent **simultaneously** to both parties after Title IX Coordinator's receipt of formal complaint.
- Informs the parties of:
 - The grievance process, including informal resolution options
 - The allegations
 - The presumption of non-responsibility on the part of the respondent
 - The parties' right to an advisor
 - The parties' right to inspect and review evidence
 - The Code of Conduct provisions prohibiting knowingly furnishing false information during the grievance process.

Robbins Schwartz

Grievance Process: Emergency Removals and Administrative Leave

- Prior to initiating or completing the grievance process in response to a formal complaint, the College may remove a respondent from its education program or activity on an emergency basis.
 - Only permitted where the College has determined, based on an individualized safety and risk analysis, that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of an individual arising from the allegations of sexual harassment justifies removal.
- College must provide the respondent with notice and an opportunity to challenge the decision immediately following the removal.

Robbins Schwartz

Grievance
Process:
Emergency
Removals and
Administrative
Leave

- The College may place an employee on administrative leave during the pendency of the grievance process in response to a formal complaint.

Robbins Schwartz

Informal Resolution



Robbins Schwartz

Informal Resolution

- Fully discretionary: Requires complainant and respondent's **voluntary, written consent**
- May occur at any time after the parties receive the initial notice of allegations and prior to a determination regarding responsibility being reached.
- May not be required as condition of:
 - Enrollment/continuing enrollment,
 - Employment or continuing employment,
 - Enjoyment of any right, or
 - Waiver of the right to a formal investigation/adjudication

Robbins Schwartz

Informal Resolution

- Party may withdraw at any time prior to a resolution
 - Withdrawal triggers resumption of grievance process.
- May include:
 - Mediation
 - Restorative justice
- Not permitted in allegations of employee sexual misconduct toward a student

Robbins Schwartz

Consolidation
and Dismissal of
Formal
Complaints



Robbins Schwartz

Consolidation of Formal Complaints

- Title IX Coordinator may consolidate formal complaints where the allegations of sex-based misconduct arise out of the same facts or circumstances.

Robbins Schwartz

Dismissal of Formal Complaints

Mandatory dismissal:

- Title IX Coordinator or designated investigator determines that conduct alleged in the formal complaint does not meet
 - (a) Title IX's definition of sexual harassment and/or
 - (b) Title IX's jurisdictional requirements.
- *Dismissal does not preclude action altogether – just for purposes of Title IX.*

Robbins Schwartz

Dismissal of Formal Complaints

Discretionary dismissal if:

- Complainant gives written notification of desire to withdraw formal complaint or certain allegations;
 - Respondent is no longer enrolled in or employed by the College; or
 - Specific circumstances prevent the College from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination.
- A party may appeal a decision to dismiss a formal complaint or allegations therein.

Robbins Schwartz

Investigation of Formal Complaints



Robbins Schwartz

Investigations: Written Notice

- Written notice required to both parties:
 - Allegations (upon receipt of a formal complaint)
 - Investigative interviews, meetings, or hearings
 - Mandatory or discretionary dismissal

Robbins Schwartz

Investigations: Evidence

- Equal opportunity for parties to provide:
 - Fact and expert witnesses
 - Inculpatory and exculpatory evidence
- No gag orders

Robbins Schwartz

Investigations: Advisors

- Both parties may select an advisor of their choosing
 - May, but need not be, an attorney
- Advisor's role is to provide support, guidance, advice
- May not speak on behalf of the party



Robbins Schwartz

Investigation:
**Access to
Evidence**

- Both parties must have equal access to inspect and review all evidence that is directly related to the complaint allegations.
 - Be mindful of FERPA and student privacy considerations.
 - Consider whether redactions are necessary
 - Notify parties of parameters/limitations on re-disclosure of records and evidence.

Robbins Schwartz

Investigation: Access to Evidence

- At the conclusion of the investigation and prior to the completion of the investigator's report, the investigator must send both parties a copy of all relevant evidence.
- The parties will have 10 school days to submit a written response to the evidence, which the investigator must consider prior to completion of his/her investigative report.

Robbins Schwartz

Investigation: Preparation of Investigation Report

- After receiving/reviewing the parties written responses, the investigator must create an investigative report that fairly summarizes the relevant evidence, which the investigator will forward to the Title IX Coordinator.
- Upon receipt of the investigator's report, the Title IX Coordinator will schedule a hearing.



Robbins Schwartz

Investigation: Preparation of Investigation Report

- At least 10 school days prior to the hearing, the Title IX Coordinator will:
 - Provide both parties with written notice of the hearing date, time, location, participants and purpose of the hearing; and
 - Send to each party (and advisors) the investigative report.
 - Allow parties 10 school days to submit a written response to the report.

Robbins Schwartz

Live Hearings



Robbins Schwartz

Live Hearings: Process

- Conducted by designated Hearing Officer
 - Assigned by Title IX Coordinator
- A party may request a substitution if the participation of the Hearing Officer poses a conflict of interest.
 - Must contact the Title IX Coordinator within three (3) school days after the party's receipt of the hearing notice to make such a request.

Robbins Schwartz

Live Hearings: Process

- Upon request, parties can be separated – requires appropriate technology
 - Must be requested at least **3 school days prior to hearing**
 - Must allow parties to simultaneously see and hear each other

Robbins Schwartz



Live Hearings: Technology

- Technology considerations:
 - Parties must be able to hear and see each other.
 - Parties and Hearing Officer must be able to view evidence being presented.
 - Consider screen-sharing and/or sending documents electronically in advance.
 - Parties should have ability to communicate with their respective advisors in private.
 - Consider “breakout rooms.”

Robbins Schwartz

Live Hearings: Advisors

- College advisor appointed if none available
 - College will assign an advisor to each party and will provide written notice of the assignment at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing.
 - If a party has already secured his/her own advisor, he/she must notify the College of such within three (3) days of receiving notice of the College-appointed advisor.

Robbins Schwartz

Issues for Hearing

- Hearing Officer's role is to determine:
 - Whether facts presented establish that the alleged conduct occurred;
 - Whether that conduct constitutes Title IX sexual harassment, sexual violence, domestic violence, dating violence or stalking; and
 - If the answers to the above are "yes," which sanctions (if any) and remedies are appropriate.
- The evidence presented must be **relevant** to one or more of the issues above.

Robbins Schwartz

Considering Evidence

- Relevant documents may include, but are not limited to:
 - The formal complaint
 - The initial written notice of the allegations
 - Written statement(s) and responses by the parties and/or witnesses
 - The investigation report
 - Police reports, photographs and/or video footage (if any)
 - Prior discipline records
 - Only relevant to issue of appropriate sanction



Robbins Schwartz

Preponderance of the Evidence Standard

- “More likely than not”
- Whether the facts supporting the allegations have greater weight/strength than the facts presented in denial of the allegations
- If 50/50, no violation.

Robbins Schwartz

Live Hearings: Cross- Examination

- Advisors are permitted to ask opposing party and witnesses all **relevant** questions and follow-up questions.
 - Relevance determined by Hearing Officer.

Robbins Schwartz

Live Hearings: Rape Shield Protections

- Questions about sexual pre-disposition or prior sexual behavior are not permitted unless:
 - Offered to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the alleged conduct; or
 - Questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent and are offered to prove consent.

Robbins Schwartz

Post-Hearing Procedure



Robbins Schwartz

Written Determination

- Issued to both parties simultaneously within 7 school days of decision being reached.

Robbins Schwartz

Written Determination


Must include:

- Identification of allegations
- Description of procedural steps taken
- Findings of fact supporting determination
- Conclusions regarding application of conduct standards
- Statement & rationale for result of each allegation, including:
 - Determination of responsibility
 - Disciplinary sanctions being imposed
 - Whether any remedies will be provided to the complainant
- Procedures and permissible bases for complainant and respondent to appeal

Robbins Schwartz

Appeals

APPEALS



Robbins Schwartz

Appeals

- Both parties have right to appeal any determination regarding:
 - Responsibility
 - Dismissal of any formal complaint or allegations therein
- Party must submit written appeal request to Title IX Coordinator
 - Within 7 school days of receipt of written determination or dismissal
- Title IX Coordinator must forward request to designated individual assigned to review appeal.

Robbins Schwartz

Appeals: Grounds to Appeal

- a) Procedural irregularity occurred
- b) New evidence or information exists that could affect outcome
- c) Conflict of interest or bias which affected outcome, amongst:
 - Title IX Coordinator, Investigator, or Hearing Officer
- d) Sanction disproportionate to violation

Robbins Schwartz

Appeals: Process

- Designee will afford both parties the opportunity to submit a statement
- Written decision issued to both parties simultaneously within 7 school days of conclusion of the review
 - Describes outcome and rationale
- Includes statement that **decision is final**

Robbins Schwartz

Conflicts of Interest & Bias



Robbins Schwartz

Conflict of Interest & Bias

Conflict of interest:

- “A situation in which the concerns or aims of two different parties or people are incompatible.”
 - Not: “I know both parties.”

Bias:

- “Prejudice in favor of or against one thing, person, or group compared with another, usually in a way considered to be unfair.”

Robbins Schwartz

How to Address Implicit Bias

- Check your implicit bias here: [Harvard Implicit Bias Test](#)
- More deliberate or conscious thinking
 - Sometimes called “Staring” (as opposed to “Blinking”)
 - This involves allowing yourself time to fully think through a scenario before coming to a decision/conclusion

Robbins Schwartz

How to Address Bias: Create a Checklist

- Create a checklist beforehand
 - For ex: A pre-made checklist of allegations to be proven/disproven
- Decision-making checklists can encourage less biased decisions; they provide an objective framework to assess your thinking¹
- Can be effective in overcoming stereotypes²
- Helps reduce the attention given to biased characteristics that may influence decision-making³

1. Arkes. Hal R. Arkes & Victoria A. Shaffer, Should We Use Decision Aids or Gut Feelings? in G. GIGERENZER & C. ENGEL, EDS., HEURISTICS AND THE LAW (2004).

2. Isaac. Carol Isaac, Barbara Lee & Molly Carnes, Interventions That Affect Gender Bias in Hiring: A Systematic Review, 84 Academic Medicine 1440 (2009).

3. Uhlmann. Eric Luis Uhlmann & Geoffrey L. Cohen, Constructed Criteria: Redefining Merit to Justify Discrimination, 16 PSYCHOL. SCI. 474 (2005).


All materials compiled by the ABA Implicit Bias Toolkit, which can be found here: <https://www.americanbar.org/groups/diversity/resources/implicit-bias/>

Questions?



Robbins Schwartz


Final Review
and
Hypothetical



Prepare for
Success


Robbins Schwartz

Final Review of Grievance Process (Pre-Hearing)



Robbins Schwartz

Final Review of Grievance Process (Hearing + Appeals)



Robbins Schwartz

Hypothetical

- Jen, a student, reports to her College advisor that a classmate, Steve, has been making inappropriate sexual jokes and innuendos.
 - She and Steve both attended the same virtual summer school course.
 - They were assigned to work on a project together, much of which was to be done on their own time, over Zoom, and required the exchange of personal contact information.
 - After the project was complete, Steve allegedly called Jen and asked her out. Jen said no.
 - Jen states that Steve began teasing her on social media and telling all of their mutual friends that Jen “is a prude.”

Robbins Schwartz

Hypothetical

- The teasing became increasingly more offensive, and he allegedly began making false statements that:
 - Jen is homosexual,
 - She is afraid to date because she is a hermaphrodite, and
 - That Jen sent him sexually explicit photos.
- Jen alleges that Steve's comments took place over the course of the summer, at various off-campus social distanced class gatherings where the instructor and other students were present.
- Most recently, Steve and Jen both attended the same party at a house owned by the College's Theater Club, of which Jen is a member.
- At the party, Steve (who is 21) was drinking. He tried to pressure Jen (who is 19) into drinking as well. Jen reports that Steve stated, "Some alcohol will allow you to drop your guard, and hopefully, allow me to drop your pants."

Robbins Schwartz

Hypothetical

- Jen reports that she told Steve he was not funny, and to leave her alone.
 - One of Jen's friends allegedly witnessed Steve trying to pour some of his vodka into Jen's cup when she wasn't looking.
 - According to Jen's friend, when Steve was caught, he laughed and told her to "Stop cock-blocking me."
- Jen is distraught. Steve is enrolled in two of the same classes as Jen for the fall 2020 semester. Jen is considering dropping the classes so she will not have to interact with Steve.
- The College advisor documents her discussion with Jen. What should the College advisor do with these allegations? Discuss.



Robbins Schwartz

Hypothetical

- Based on these facts, analyze the jurisdiction under Title IX.
- Reminder:
 - Steve's behavior began after the completion of their virtual project. He teased her via social media.
 - Steve's behavior continued at off-campus events where the instructor and other students were present.
 - Steve's most recent actions were at a house owned by a College-recognized student organization during the first weekend of school.
- Do Steve's actions constitute Title IX sexual harassment?
- Reminder: Three types of sexual harassment:
 - **Quid pro quo** harassment by a college employee
 - Unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would find **so severe, pervasive and objectively offensive** that it **denies a person equal educational access**
 - Any instance of **sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence or stalking**

Robbins Schwartz

Hypothetical

- Jen files a formal complaint. The parties proceed to a live hearing.
 - Can Steve's advisor ask Jen if she has ever had a sexual relationship with Steve?
- After a full grievance process, the College's appointed Hearing Officer (who is close friends with the College advisor to whom Jen made her initial report) finds that Steve is responsible for engaging in Title IX sexual harassment.
 - After receiving the Hearing Officer's determination, Steve feels that the Hearing Officer had a conflict of interest that affected the outcome of the grievance process. He submits an appeal request to the Title IX Coordinator.
- What steps does the Title IX Coordinator need to take with respect to the appeal?

Robbins Schwartz

Questions?



Robbins Schwartz